UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,190 06/02/2005		Paolo Corvi Mora	27419-230	1281	
NIXON PEABO	7590 02/25/200 ODY LLP	EXAMINER			
Clinton Square P.O. Box 31051		KOSAR, AARON J			
Rochester, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/25/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/537,190	CORVI MORA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	AARON J. KOSAR	1651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 04 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 February 2009</u> . A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to avoid disr	nissal of the				
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
 (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:	,	•				
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☑ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Sandra Saucier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651	/Aaron J Kosar/						
Timaly Examinor, Art Offic 1001	Examiner, Art Unit 1651						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed claims would require consideration of claim limitations and scope not previously presented in the claims and would require further search and consideration. The proposed amendments to the specification also introduce new matter which, rather than correlating the tradenamed/trademarked compositions, embrace subject matter which is broader than provided by the original disclosure and would likely raise issues under 35 USC 112, 1st ¶.

Continuation of 10 & 11. The affidavit/evidence and the request for reconsideration do NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments and evidence are directed towards unexpected results; however, the arguments and evidence, including the showing of the unexpected, are not commensurate with the instantly pending claims. For example, the arguments and evidence present compositions showing the unexpected solubility in aqueous solution of compositions, the compositions consisting (by weight of said compositions): PROPOFLAVIS, 10% (w/w); ammonium glycyrrhizate, 10%(w/w), .beta.-cyclodextrin, 75%(w/w/); and Glu or Gly, 5%(w/w); however, the instant claims do not require use of the composition in aqueous solutions and/or providing the compounds having unexpected properties (e.g. PROPOFLAVIS) and proportions commensurate with the showing of the unexpected. Thus the prior art is still considered to render obvious the composition as claimed, for the reasons of record.